

## Truth Test for Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin of Liskeard, Cornwall – aka Miriam the prophetess – and numerous other pseudonyms..

*I personally regret having to construct this document, but in the face of unrelenting personal attacks, and the ongoing reticence / failure / inability of the UK justice system to take effective and timely action in protecting us from the ravages of this utterly duplicitous individual, I decided it was time to take matters in hand and state the facts as they are – with whatever evidence I can link to as we go.*

*It is practically impossible to keep up with the ever-ascending tiers of deception and guile that pours forth from this woman, so may I ask visitors to please check out the links provided and test the evidence for themselves – so there can be no more doubts as to this woman's capacity for unbridled malice and deception.*

*The main point to note throughout is the callous and premeditated 'projection' of Rosalind Miriam Franklin's own dark motives, methods, and character onto those she accuses, (this is a recognized psychological trait in the study of criminal psychology); and her prolific use of pseudonyms and other false identities to pursue amoral ends.*

*Please feel free to circulate or share this material with anyone who may benefit from its dissemination. Meanwhile, we wait.. and wait.. and wait for the Courts to do what we have been waiting for the UK Justice System to do since 2006!*

<b>Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin of Diggory Press Says / Claims / Accuses..</b>	<b>The Real Truth Is..</b>	<b>Evidence / Links / Points to consider..</b>
Claims to be an 'ethical, Christian' businessperson	Mrs F has displayed that she is an unconscionable exploiter of people, and will stoop to any level of duplicity to achieve her questionable aims	100-plus written complaints from ex-clients would suggest she is not a particularly ethical person – nor a sterling example of what most would consider 'Christian' behaviour
Makes many promises to authors on her website	Most are hollow ruses – designed primarily to generate consumer confidence, and help separate prospects from their money	Mrs F also operates false-front websites with skewed and misleading commentaries to draw authors to her site.. <a href="http://selfpublishingnews.wordpress.com">selfpublishingnews.wordpress.com</a> (now taken down – my 2009) Note: Two screenshots on the Rogues Gallery website still exist as evidence, but Mrs F has somehow managed to block access to the archives too, May 2009
States that all royalties are being paid, and all work continues as normal	Direct, premeditated lies I'm afraid – April 2009. Ex-authors report receiving a generic email to this effect – but no payments..	Authors such as Max Brand featured on the Diggory website as the author of certain 'new titles' are deceased since 1944.. the front page displaying new titles remains unchanged since Jan 2008 <a href="http://www.diggorypress.com/">http://www.diggorypress.com/</a>
Claims she can publish	Impossible unless the publisher subsidizes the	Just one of many deceptive marketing 'hooks' to lure unsuspecting authors

your book for £30	cost..	
Claimed that Diggory Press books sold 200 times higher than the average..	She has never substantiated this claim – which would be quite incredible if it were true – given that most Diggory / Exposure books fall well short of ‘professional’ publishing standards..	Another deceptive ruse designed to lure customers.. <a href="http://www.diggorypress.com/publish-with-diggory-press-i-1.html">http://www.diggorypress.com/publish-with-diggory-press-i-1.html</a>
Offered ‘editing services’ for a fee..	Her own spelling and grammar is regularly peppered with errors.. she has no editorial qualifications whatsoever – as many disappointed writers will testify	One of the give-aways to her many online identities are the repeated spelling errors on certain words..
Offered ‘marketing packages’ for fees of up to £2,000	These ‘packages’ come more-or-less automatically with an ISBN; cost the publisher nothing; and are sold knowingly under a deliberate deception..	See ‘A Note of Caution’ here: <a href="http://checkpointpress.com/marketingdistribution.html">http://checkpointpress.com/marketingdistribution.html</a>
Claims hundreds of satisfied authors..	Franklin wrote many of the endorsements herself	Screenshots of fabricated books when she first launched Diggory as a business in 2005-06 – pretending those books were ‘works-in-progress’..
Claims to be honest..	A close family relative of Mrs Franklin saw the article about the court cases in the Guardian recently, and called the reporter advising that they too had been defrauded..!	We’re hoping this person will agree to be a material witness in Court..
<b>As the defendant in several Court cases, Rosalind Franklin states:</b>	<b>The Real Truth Is..</b>	<b>Evidence / Links / Points to consider..</b>
Claims to be actively suing us claimants in UK Court..	Technically untrue – she is countersuing – not suing. Contrary to all her assertions, she remains the defendant in ALL known cases..	Letter from RF begging the Court to reverse a decision so she can bail out.. Why would she ask for this if she has such a solid case and is so eager to take us all to task etc..?
Claims WE are not following the Court rules – but she is..	Untrue again: Mrs F was ordered to submit an amended counterclaim in Dec 2008, was then reminded at least 4 times, and eventually ordered again to do so in April 2009.. Has breached the rules many, many times now..	This is more typical Franklin-obfuscations designed to divert attention from the central issues and try to blacken our characters. We claimants <i>want</i> our day in Court asap – so we can get some justice at last.. but unfortunately, all these systemic delays give Mrs F more time to prepare evasion tactics..
Claims we are rubbishing the Courts in the media..	Absolute lie repeated to the Court several times designed to curry favour with the Judge	Can anyone can find anything supporting this charge in the articles written by The Times, The Guardian, or Private Eye..?

	– hopefully he won't swallow this nonsense..	
Claims she lodged police complaints against certain claimants..	Technically, true. But those complaints were vexatious fabrications in response to our original genuine complaints. As well as falsely accusing two other respected claimants of fraud, making death threats etc, Mrs F's complaints were absolutely and completely without merit, and will be dealt with in Court in due course.. (we hope)..	One named claimant who is happy to discuss this matter is Sandra Lean (PhD) of the Scottish Centre for Criminal Justice
Accuses others of being 'fakes' 'charlatans' and 'deceivers'..	Interesting, considering it is Mrs F who uses multiple online identities to mislead, deceive, and accuse..	List of known or suspected false identities can be found here.
Accuses others of being mentally unsound..	Ahem.. I will let the reader come to their own conclusions on this one..	Links to these accusations
Accuses others of being associated with 'religious cults' etc	Mrs F's website is (or was) affiliated with the Worldwide Church of God, before she appointed herself a prophet, I presume, and has published books for the Reachout Trust	Personal note: What is the point of a religious belief if it doesn't make us better people, or doesn't help bring us together? 'Love thy neighbour..?' Link to the wikipedia page for the <a href="#">Reachout Trust</a> which attacks several religious groups
Attacks my own interfaith connections	I studied world religions at the Master's and PhD level, and am proud to count members of many diverse religious groups as well-respected, life-long friends.	My current views lean towards the understanding that the actual discovery of Universal Truth(s) rather than the simple acceptance of often-unfounded and divisive religious beliefs is the way to go. Feel free to buy the book(s) <a href="#">..Confronting Religious Dysfunction (book)</a>
Claims to have been 'religiously persecuted'	Certainly not by me, unless asking what type of Christianity allows her to behave as she does is 'persecution'. More psychological projections I'm afraid	Mrs F attacks and persecutes others on religious grounds on a daily basis, and has made scores of highly prejudicial and insulting remarks about others' religious affiliations..
Attacks my personal credentials and character	Another tactic often used by the guilty to try to defray attention from the real issues	My work resume, academic credentials and personal views are all freely accessible online. No hidden identities; no evading the facts; and all supported by testimonials, photos, and other documents.. <a href="#">Color of Truth website</a> <a href="#">References &amp; Testimonials</a> <a href="#">Academic Credentials</a>
Claims to have received 'death threats'	Certainly not from me. But I have several written records where Mrs F makes various threats against others, in various guises	Before she lodged the accusations of death threats, Mrs Franklin herself stated online (referring to me); "He well knows his days are numbered". This again suggests she is 'projecting' her own sinister intentions onto others.
Claims we are conducting an	17 of us originally grouped together as a victim's support group in order to offer mutual	Mrs F got this ball rolling by attacking us online unprovoked, including posting many lies and false accusations. We simply tried to defend ourselves. Arguably,

<p>'orchestrated campaign of harassment, blackmail, extortion' etc etc..</p>	<p>support in the face of incredible arrogance, rudeness, false accusations, intimidation, deception and evasiveness on Mrs F's part.</p>	<p>it is in fact Mrs F's numerous online identities engaged in attacking the claimants (and myself in particular) that constitute the real 'orchestrated campaign of harassment' – and as for extortion and blackmail etc.. as usual, this is more projection on Mrs F's part. Please see detail on police complaint here <a href="#">Original Police Complaint</a></p>
<p>Claims she/Diggory got 16 court claims 'thrown out of Court!'</p>	<p>Not exactly true. She / Diggory had little if anything to do with the decisions of those who 'withdrew' or otherwise couldn't continue. Although 16 of us began expecting a simple small-claims procedure; several couldn't afford the international travel; two were invalid; and the remaining few decided the Court requirements and conditions (£1,000 each to proceed to multi-track) were exorbitant and inappropriately punitive.</p>	<p>Mrs Franklin wrote forged solicitors letters to a number of complainants, and managed to intimidate two into not pursuing their cases further. As it stands currently (May 2009) three of the original '17' continue their claims mainly because Mrs F trapped herself into the process by lodging ridiculous and unprovable counterclaims. There are also an unknown number of independent writers suing her separately. Mrs F has done her utmost to project an image of injured victim who is dedicated to securing justice. The Court system is certainly taking its time – but we remain hopeful despite the many setbacks and complications</p>
<p>Asserts she is NOT Miriam the prophetess</p>	<p>But somehow, Rosalind of Liskeard has quoted some of my messages posted privately to Miriam the prophetess' website – in Court documents – very strange?</p>	<p>Mrs F started making these strident denials after she had deleted the online evidence to the contrary. But as can be seen here, we can prove otherwise. Anyway, anyone with an ounce of discernment or common sense can see what's going on here</p>
<p>Claims I have fabricated evidence against her..</p>	<p>Everything I have lodged is, as far as I can discern, absolutely true. Mrs Franklin's accusations and counterclaim however, do contain more than a few big fat porkies..</p>	<p>Lengthy, contrived 'Memo For Neilsens' document forged and posted online in an insidious attempt to blacken my character. Has also lied numerous times about the history and facts of the case and our reasons and motives for taking her to Court</p>
<p>Claims I am a trade competitor whose main motivation for the Court action is to poach Diggory clients, and make money</p>	<p>Nonsense. Another devious red herring. Although I have assisted and advised where I can – including helping some defrauded authors get their books back into circulation – I have not profited from doing so.</p>	<p>When we started the Court action, I had only my own two books, and a friend's book of poetry published. It was basically my private pastime. Hardly a 'business competitor' for someone claiming to have published over a thousand books.. Mrs F switches between labelling me a 'trade competitor' and 'an insignificant operation' as suits her needs..</p>
<p>Claims I am a 'professional serial harasser' who is blackmailing her..</p>	<p>Nonsense and projection again. My only motive is to seek justice in the face of incredible duplicity and contempt.</p>	<p>Well, considering how much money I've managed to extort so far (£0.00) perhaps I should consider taking up another profession..?</p>
<p>Accuses me of doing this for profit..</p>	<p>Yup. I'm doing so well, that I actually qualify for fee remission on Court fees, and may have</p>	<p>Another calculated obfuscation designed to raise doubts about my character and motives.</p>

	to swim over to the next hearing if things don't pick up soon..	
Speaks of 'another company' who has also been targeted unjustly by me.. in order to blackmail and harass for profit etc..	She won't name them publicly because she has enough sense not to want to be associated with them. Page Free Publishing run by Kim and Gary Blagg in the USA are well-known scoundrels with a bit more practice under their belt at fleecing authors.. I make absolutely no apologies for denouncing and exposing them online..	Nope – no money from them either.. Check them out and see for yourself.. <a href="http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/">http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/</a> <a href="http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/home.html">http://www.pagefreepublishing.com/home.html</a> <a href="#">Complaints Board 1</a> <a href="#">Complaints Board 2</a> <a href="#">RDK Press and Pagefree Complaints</a> <a href="#">Several complaints here</a> <a href="#">Group Action Attorney General Michigan USA</a>
<b>As Miraim Franklin 'the prophetess' she says / claims / accuses..</b>	<b>The Real Truth Is..</b>	<b>Evidence / Links / Points to consider..</b>
Claims to be an end-times prophetess..	Much of the material on her site is copied from other sites	Copy-and-paste short sections of some of her 'prophecies' into your search engine, and see what comes up elsewhere.. Hint: choose well-worded texts
Claims to be able to forecast events	Posts get back-dated to give them the appearance of credibility..	This is the usual fare for Mrs F. There is so much delusion, deception and calculating insidiousness that it's genuinely hard to get one's head around it all.
Claims to have had 'millions' of visitors to her site..	Unlikely. In the past, Mrs F has typed in false visitor numbers on other misleading websites so as to give the appearance of high traffic..	The visitor counter on her site is probably being manipulated – because if not, it means millions are swallowing her verbiage.. scary indeed!
States (online) that I have never tried to contact her to discern her real identity..	Another direct lie. I made numerous attempts to solicit a response from 'Miriam' – but she has never once responded to me	The whole endtimesprophecy site is a soap-box for Mrs Franklin's religious views and often vicious denunciation of others – it gives the false impression it is a properly-run blog. But Mrs Franklin immediately deletes any and all posts that urge her to clarify the situation.. from anyone.. go on, give it a try.. See screenshots
Claims Rosalind Franklin has never refused to speak to the media	First of all, how would Miriam know this sort of private detail? Secondly, either Miriam / Rosalind is lying, or respected reporters are..?	Which is more likely; that eminent reporters from The Times, The Guardian, and Private Eye Magazine have gone to print with libellous stories? ..or the incorrigible Mrs Franklin is not being wholly truthful one again?
Rubbishes others' academic qualifications and achievements..	As far as I am aware, Mrs Franklin's only credentials are her own delusional opinions of herself.. (sorry, couldn't help myself)	My own academic credentials can be viewed here <a href="#">STM Academic Credentials</a>
Accuses me of being involved with the occult..	Projected balderdash again, designed to tarnish my character.	Interestingly, it is Mrs F (as Rosalind) who has authored a number of books in the theme of superstitions, pixies and fairies etc.. even listed under the heading 'Superstition / Occult' on the Diggory Press website.. and as 'Miriam' has been

		accused several times of dabbling with occult themes on her prophecy site.. <a href="#">Bodrick and the Magic Petals</a> <a href="#">5 superstition / magic titles by RF</a>
Stridently insists she is NOT Rosalind Franklin of Liskeard UK	Unfortunately, a 15-page diatribe supposedly authored by Miriam is carelessly signed by a certain 'R M Franklin' who just so happens to have intimate knowledge of all Diggory Press affairs.. I also have evidence of her original sign-up name as Rosalind, Rosalind Franklin and R M Franklin	Four subsequent supportive posts on one site from <i>Richard, Chris</i> and <i>Irene</i> all came from the same isp address – with the same erratic style, poor grammar and syntax..

I will do my best to update this pdf with more links as-and-when I have time. But please be advised that Mrs Franklin is doing all she can to eliminate the evidence before we eventually get her in front of a trial Judge.

If anyone has further questions on any related matter, please feel free to contact me and I will do my best to clarify: [stmphd@mail.com](mailto:stmphd@mail.com)

Stephen