

To All Interested Parties

Diggory Court Case issue

July 18th 2009

Hi Folks..

Since the last update there have been several 'interesting' developments, including the recent bringing-forward of the cases to November 2009 under a more senior Judge in a different Court – which, on the face of it seems to be a positive development for us claimants. But this hasn't happened 'purely by magic' as I'm sure you'll appreciate..

I believe it's fair to say that we (active claimants) remain rather bewildered and dismayed at the way these cases have been handled, with very little making sense to any of us as far as supposed 'justice' is concerned. But this latest development might be the beginning of the end for Mrs Franklin, as it comes on the back of a written warning from the previous Judge that he was applying for a 'civil restraint order' against her for her apparent multiple abuses of the court system. (Of course, this is just one of the specific false charges Mrs F continuously levels against us – and against me in particular).

One of the unexpected side-effects of dealing with Mrs Franklin these past three years is the gradual dulling of my own personal sense of outrage as time-and-again, she proves capable of the most malignant and deceptive behaviour. It's almost like being shell-shocked, as one becomes gradually overwhelmed with the continuous flood of malice and poisonous rhetoric, not to mention the projection of all her own 'crimes' onto those of us who are still actively standing up to her.

One of her most pernicious tactics for example, is to repeatedly inform the Court that facts are being falsely reported in these generic updates, and that I am accusing the Judge by name of 'being corrupt and biased'. I am also apparently doing all this so as to profit at Diggory Press' expense, and that orchestrating campaigns of blackmail and harassment is my main source of income etc etc etc.. The latest bundle of supposed 'evidence' Mrs F sent into the Court was a mere 62 pages mostly in tiny font – and again was so full of misdirection, malicious exaggerations and calculated attacks on my personal integrity, that it's pointless even referring to it. Thank goodness the Court has now directed that they will entertain no more of these multiple submissions until we see the new Judge in November this year.

Anyway, for the sake of brevity and clarity, I list here in rough sequence the various developments since the last update:

Between December 2008 and April 2009, Mrs Rosalind Franklin (defendant) repeatedly failed to follow a specific Court Order issued in Dec 2008, and despite four separate formal applications (from me) to have her case struck out between then and May 2009 - the Court (for reasons best known to themselves) granted her extra time to comply without her requesting it – and in face of our formal objections.

Jan-Feb 2009; against our written objections, the Judge in Bodmin sent the cases to Bristol Chancery Court after Mrs F insisted we needed a specialist Court. We advised the Judge that we believed this was just more stalling tactics by Mrs F, but apparently he disagreed – or had other official reasons for moving the cases up-the-line.

April 2009 – three months and much paperwork later Bristol Court returns the cases to Bodmin stating they should never have been sent to Bristol in the first place.

Meanwhile, another active claimant (not in the original 17) had a hearing in Bodmin, but instead of dealing with the case there-and-then, the Judge decided the claimant needed to pay up another £1,000 in court fees and join the rest of us in March 2010. Like many others, that claimant understandably withdrew his complaint in dismay, and reports that he told the Judge he found the whole thing farcical..

Meanwhile, I had submitted ten separate applications for 'specific disclosure' asking the Court to order various business associates of Mrs Franklin to divulge information necessary to prove our cases. Inexplicably, no action at all was taken for nearly three months. Then, when I drew attention to the apparent lack of progress, all but two requests were 'dismissed' for supposedly being 'purely speculative' (which in our opinion they most certainly were not).. Again, we're finding it very difficult to understand the various legal procedures and protocols – especially considering the Small Claims Courts are supposed to be operating in a manner that facilitates prompt, equitable and cost-effective results.. but with Mrs F's intransigence and duplicity constantly compounding the process, it's not so surprising that things seem to be getting out of hand..

I then inform the Court that I am increasingly disturbed by the manner in which the cases are being managed, and ask for paperwork so I can lodge a complaint/appeal.

After over two years of these torturous convolutions, the Court then orders us to reconsider ADR (alternative dispute resolution), a fee-based mediation service. This, despite several previous attempts having failed.. We claimants respond positively, but point out the fact that Mrs F has reneged on previous such mediation attempts, and has proven herself utterly unreasonable and untrustworthy throughout..

Meanwhile Mrs Franklin creates three brand new pseudonymous websites designed expressly to destroy the characters of those who are confronting her. I receive the most aggressive attention of course.. Two of the sites are immediately taken down for breaching the defamation rules - and we are currently working on the third one..

[I hesitate to draw specific attention to those sites as they are composed almost entirely of the usual vicious personal attacks and assorted calculated deceptions – but if anyone has any questions about any issues raised by Mrs F in any of her various disguises online – please contact me directly at stmphd@mail.com]

Mrs Franklin invents another slew of false identities online and starts having multiple pseudonymous conversations with herself in an attempt to 'prove' or 'disprove' this-and-that.. She is apparently trying to create a 'web of evidence' to support her distorted version of events, but as most of it will be dated some 2.5 years after we initially lodged our claims – it shouldn't be admissible in Court anyway..

After deleting online evidence including certain archived webpages, Franklin then changes tack and formally accuses Private Eye and the Guardian newspaper of false reporting – and refers to the 'evidence' online that she has recently created using pseudonyms. But when the Guardian reporters check the facts they discover Mrs F has been telling porkies once again..

Franklin's response to the Court's direction to 'mediate' is to launch a pseudo-legal injunction against me. I call the online agency she paid for the headed notepaper and discover they are only supplying headed paper and a postal service. I explain the situation to them.. Nothing since.. Apparently Mrs F failed to advise them that she was the defendant in several ongoing Court cases and it was she – and not us – who was actually engaged in a campaign of harassment (using multiple identities).. We

suspect she intends to use the pseudo-legal injunction document to try to 'prove' online that she is taking direct legal action against us 'malicious conspirators' etc..

Franklin defies the Judge's instructions to stop communicating by email, and sends in 37 vexatious 'applications' to have my claim struck out. This is shortly followed by 62 pages of emailed text which was later described by the Judge as 'incoherent and often unintelligible'..

The Judge writes to inform us that all of Franklin's latest 37 applications have been dismissed, and warns Franklin he is requesting another Judge to issue a 'civil restraint order' against her for (apparently) abusing the Court system..

July 2009; a new Judge takes over, and informs us we must now appear before him in Nov 2009 to explain why he shouldn't simply strike out all claims and counterclaims because 'we' are using up an inordinate amount of Court resources...? Meanwhile, all other activity in the cases is 'suspended'.. Thank goodness again.. but this also leaves us claimants in somewhat of a no-man's land as far as securing necessary documents and requested evidence for any eventual trial.

Finally, a couple of interesting points: Mrs Franklin has now twice put it in writing to the Court that she wants to withdraw her counterclaim against me. We should remember that this started as a ridiculous one-million-pounds-plus counterclaim, then was compulsorily reduced to £50,000.. yet still made practically no sense. Everyone should also remember what a big deal Mrs F has been making of 'taking us all to task via the Courts' etc.. and awaiting 'full vindication under the law'.. etc – so why the sudden urge to pull out, now that we are getting close to the final hearing?

Furthermore, Mrs Franklin is supposed to send exact duplicates of certain paperwork to the court and the claimants, but what she hasn't realised is that The Court has lately taken to forwarding all correspondence to both parties. Accordingly, I have discovered that Mrs Franklin has been sending different versions of various documents to myself and the Court.. These too will become part of the increasingly-thickening 'bundle' destined for the new Judge's perusal..

Meanwhile, Mrs Rosalind Miriam Franklin continues her other 'divine mission' as Miriam Franklin the end times prophetess.. repeatedly denying of course that she (Miriam) is in fact Rosalind Franklin of Diggory Press fame..

<http://endtimespropheticwords.wordpress.com>

As time allows, I will continue to keep the Rogues Gallery updated with relevant links and evidence <http://checkpoint.ie/roquesgallery.html>.

Finally, may I ask anyone who has the time and inclination to please visit the various posts and blogs online where these matters are being discussed, and simply report your own experience with Mrs Franklin so that others can see that our attempts to warn other authors and expose Mrs Franklin are simply that.. and not, as she repeatedly claims, some 'underhanded conspiracy to destroy an innocent business..'

Meanwhile, any direct questions, please email me at stmphd@mail.com

Thanks to all for your continued encouragement and support

Kind regards, Stephen